9. HEAD OF LAW REPORT - PLANNING APPEALS (A.1536/AMC)

1. APPEALS LODGED

The following appeals have been lodged during this month.

<u>Reference</u>	<u>Details</u>	Method of Appeal	Committee/ Delegated
NP/DDD/1020/0938 3267238	Erection of single storey porch to front elevation at 19 Stoney Close, Bakewell	Householder	Delegated
NP/DDD/0920/0809 3268018	Proposed slate roof conservatory at 4 Mill Farm Close, Calver	Householder	Delegated

2. APPEALS WITHDRAWN

There have been no appeals withdrawn during this month.

3. APPEALS DECIDED

The following appeals have been decided during this month.

<u>Reference</u>	<u>Details</u>	<u>Method of</u> Appeal	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Committee/</u> Delegated
NP/DDD/0218/0096 3246674	Discharge of condition regarding the approval of windows and doors at Meadow Farm, Crowdicote	Written Representations	Dismissed	Delegated

The Inspector considered that the reason for the condition was in the interests of the character and appearance of the development, and that the details of the windows and doors provided would have a significant harmful impact on the character and appearance of the building. The Inspector also considered that the proposal would have been in conflict with GSP3 and L3 of the Core Strategy as well as DMC3, DMC10 and DMC 5 of the Development Management Plan. The appeal was therefore dismissed.

NP/DDD/0420/0348 3258914	Two storey extension to the rear/east of former restricted dwelling property on the footprint on the allowed single storey extension at The Stables adjacent to the	Householder	Dismissed	Delegated
	Chequers Inn, Froggatt			

The Inspector considered that the proposal would not preserve the setting of the designated heritage asset, and that the proposal would also dramatically and adversely change the character and appearance of the existing building by virtue of its scale, height, width and roof design. The appeal was therefore dismissed.

NP/S/1019/1109 3257551 Two storey detached residential units to existing care home at The Lodge, Hollow Meadows, Sheffield	Written Representations	Allowed	Committee
--	----------------------------	---------	-----------

The Inspector considered that the proposed units would be built as outbuildings and would be some distance from the main dwelling, so did not constitute an extension to the building so were acceptable under Policy DS1. It was also considered that the outbuildings would not be obtrusive as their height and scale would not detract from the visual primacy of the main lodge building. The appeal was allowed.

4. **RECOMMENDATION:**

To note the report.